



To:
All members of the
Council

Please reply to:
Contact: Gary Lelliott
Service: Committee Services
Direct line: 01784 446240
E-mail: g.elliott@spelthorne.gov.uk
Date: 6 October 2021

Supplementary Agenda

Council - Thursday, 14 October 2021

Dear Councillor

I enclose the following items which were marked 'to follow' on the agenda for the Council meeting to be held on Thursday, 14 October 2021:

- 13. Amendment to the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2021-22** **5 - 12**
To consider the recommendation of the Independent Remuneration Panel on an amendment to the Members' Allowances Scheme in respect of awarding a Special Responsibility Allowance to members of the Development Sub-Committee.
Attached.
- 17. Update on joint arrangements - Spelthorne Joint Committee** **13 - 16**
To agree the constitution for the Spelthorne Joint Committee.
Attached.
- 19. Report from the Leader of the Council** **17 - 18**
To receive a report on the work of the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee and the Development Sub-Committee.
Attached.

Spelthorne Borough Council, Council Offices, Knowle Green

Staines-upon-Thames TW18 1XB

www.spelthorne.gov.uk customer.services@spelthorne.gov.uk telephone 01784 451499

To receive any motions from Councillors in accordance with Standing Order 16.

Motion 2 – Decision of the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee on 5 July 2021, as detailed in minute 214/21.

This motion is in relation to the decision by Corporate Policy and Resources Committee on 5 July quoted from the Publicly available Minutes Point 214/21, title “Establishment of Task Group” with related announcement in a Spelthorne News Release on 20 August titled “Update on Waterfront Inquiry” the following Points being subject of this motion:

- “3. in consultation with the Review Group, to authorise the Chief Executive to finalise the terms of reference for the inquiry which are to be based upon the terms of reference set out in the report at Appendix B;
4. in consultation with the Review Group, to authorise the Chief Executive to amend the terms of reference as considered appropriate as the inquiry develops or as recommended by the investigator;
5. in consultation with the review group, to authorise the Chief Executive to appoint the investigator; and
6. in consultation with the Review Group, to authorise the Chief Executive to appoint any replacement investigator if the original investigator appointed can no longer act.”

This motion is in three parts, with the request that each part be subject to a recorded vote:

- a) The Terms of Reference for the independent investigation that formed part of the announcement on 20 August 2021 have been deemed unfit for the purposes of the Waterfront Enquiry and as such a request has been put to the Review Group, headed by the Leader Lawrence Nicholls, for the Terms of Reference to be revised in consultation with the Councillors for Staines, Staines South and Riverside and Laleham, and in consideration of the Attached Terms of Reference.
- b) The Chief Executive shall be required to recuse himself from any involvement in determining the new Terms of Reference or taking any part in the independent investigation other than co-operating fully with the investigator and instructing his staff and any relevant advisors/consultants to do the same. This Motion Part b) of Motion 1 arises from concerns related to the Chief Executive having any involvement in the Inquiry, other than to give evidence to it, given his central role in the Waterfront Development Project which is the subject of this Waterfront Inquiry.

The Full Council to approve in advance whatever modifications

are required to be made to the Constitution to facilitate the above, specifically, and only in relation to the independent investigation into the Waterfront Development Project.

- c) The person appointed to conduct the independent investigation shall have access to any or all documentation and/or personnel he/she feels would be relevant to his/her investigations without encumbrance.

Proposer: Councillor S Mooney

Seconded: Councillor V Siva

Supported by (in accordance with Standing Order 20.1): Councillor M Beecher, Councillor C Bateson, Councillor J Vinson, Councillor D Saliagopolous, Councillor M Gibson, Councillor I Beardsmore, Councillor J Boughtflower, Councillor N Islam, Councillor M Attewell and Councillor T Lagden.

The terms of reference referred to in this motion are attached, along with a covering letter.

Yours sincerely

Gary Lelliott
Committee Services

To the members of the Council

Councillors:

I.T.E. Harvey (Mayor)
S.M. Doran
M.M. Attewell
C.F. Barnard
C.L. Barratt
R.O. Barratt
C. Bateson
I.J. Beardsmore
J.R. Boughtflower
A. Brar
S. Buttar
R. Chandler
J.T.F. Doran

R.D. Dunn
S.A. Dunn
T. Fidler
N.J. Gething
M. Gibson
K.M. Grant
A.C. Harman
H. Harvey
N. Islam
T. Lagden
V.J. Leighton
M.J. Madams
J. McIlroy

A.J. Mitchell
S.C. Mooney
L. E. Nichols
R.J. Noble
O. Rybinski
D. Saliagopoulos
J.R. Sexton
R.W. Sider BEM
V. Siva
R.A. Smith-Ainsley
B.B. Spoor
J. Vinson

Council



14 October 2021

Title	Amendment to the Members' Allowances Scheme 2021/22
Purpose of the report	To make a decision
Report Author	Gillian Scott, Committee Services Support Officer
Corporate Priority	This item is not in the current list of Corporate Priorities but still requires a Council decision
Recommendations	<p>Council is asked to consider the recommendations of the Independent Remuneration Panel on amendments to the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2021-22:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. to pay a Special Responsibility Allowance of £2000 each, to the members of the Development Sub-Committee; and 2. to remove the 'one SRA only' rule from the Scheme.
Reason for Recommendation	The Council is required to make a scheme of allowances and the Independent Remuneration Panel is appointed by the Council to advise on the type of its allowances and the amounts to be paid.

1. Key issues

- 1.1 The Independent Remuneration Panel met on 21 September 2021 to consider a request from a member to review the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2021/22 with regards to the role of the members serving on the Development Sub-Committee.
- 1.2 It is a matter for the Council to decide the level of members' allowances under the Spelthorne Members' Allowances Scheme.
- 1.3 The function of the Independent Remuneration Panel (the Panel) is to provide the Council with advice on the type of its allowances and the amounts to be paid.
- 1.4 The statutory position is that Spelthorne Borough Council "shall have regard to" the advice from the Panel and the Council cannot make any changes to its Scheme of Members' Allowances without first considering the Panel's advice on the issues involved. The Panel acknowledges that it is a matter for the Council to decide the level of Members' Allowances. In having regard to the Panel's advice, the Council is to "give proper consideration" to the Panel's report. In this way, the Council can take full account of its particular circumstances and be directly accountable to its electorate.

2. Options analysis and proposal

- 2.1 The proposals recommended by the Panel are set out in detail in the attached report. A summary of the Panel's recommendations can be found on page 3 of the report.

3. Financial implications

- 3.1 The budget for the agreed Members' Allowances Scheme for 2021/22 was £348,717. The additional Special Responsibility Allowance for the members of the Development Sub-Committee, as recommended by the Panel, requires an additional annual budget of £14,000, bringing the total budget for the 2021/22 Scheme to £362,717.
- 3.2 If Council agrees the Panel's recommendations a supplementary estimate of £10,500, being the additional budget required for the period 15/7/21 (see 7.2 below) to 31/3/22, will need to be agreed by the Chief Finance Officer in consultation with the Chair and Vice Chair of Corporate Policy and Resources Committee, as per Financial Regulations.

4. Other considerations

- 4.1 There are none.

5. Equality and Diversity

- 5.1 There are no impacts on equality and diversity arising from the recommendations in the Panel's report.

6. Sustainability/Climate Change Implications

- 6.1 There are no impacts on sustainability or climate change arising from the recommendations in the Panel's report.

7. Timetable for implementation

- 7.1 Subject to Council approval on 14 October 2021, the additional Special Responsibility Allowance for members of the Development Sub-Committee will be backdated to 15 July 2021, being the date on which the membership was appointed to the Sub-Committee.
- 7.2 In accordance with the Local Authorities (Members' Allowances) (England) Regulations 2003 the revised Scheme will be made available on the website and a notice published in a local newspaper giving details of the additional amounts payable in respect of members of the Development Sub-Committee.

Background papers: There are none.

Appendices:

Report of the Independent Remuneration Panel – September 2021



REPORT OF THE

INDEPENDENT REMUNERATION PANEL

ON

AN AMENDMENT TO THE MEMBERS' ALLOWANCES SCHEME
2021 - 2022

FOR

SPELTHORNE BOROUGH COUNCIL

September 2021

CONTENTS

Paragraph		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION and BACKGROUND	1
9.	TERMS OF REFERENCE	2
10.	CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2 - 3
	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	3

INTRODUCTION

1. This report has been prepared in accordance with the *Local Authorities (Members' Allowances) (England) Regulations 2003* ("the 2003 Regulations") as amended, which require all local authorities to appoint an Independent Remuneration Panel ('the Panel') to advise on the terms and conditions of their Scheme of Members' Allowances ('the Scheme').
2. The Panel acknowledges that it is a matter for the Council to decide the level of Members' Allowances. The statutory position (Paragraph 19 of the 2003 Regulations) is that Spelthorne Borough Council "**shall have regard to**" the advice from the Panel and the Council cannot make any changes to its Scheme without first considering the Panel's advice on the issues involved. In "having regard" to the Panel's advice, the Council is to "give proper consideration" to the Panel's report. In this way, the Council can take full account of its particular circumstances and be directly accountable to its electorate.
3. The function of the Panel is therefore to provide the Council with advice on the type of its allowances and the amounts to be paid.
4. The 2003 Regulations require the authority to make copies of the Scheme available for inspection by members of the public at all reasonable hours and publish a notice in a local newspaper giving details of the Scheme, or any amendments to that Scheme, and the amounts payable in respect of each allowance mentioned in the Scheme.

BACKGROUND

5. The Independent Remuneration Panel (the Panel) undertook its review of the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2021/22 in April 2021. At the time of the Panel's review, the Council had not established the Development Sub-Committee.
6. At its meeting on 27 May 2021, the Council agreed the establishment and Terms of Reference of the Development Sub-Committee.
7. The members of the Sub-Committee were agreed by Council at its meeting on 15 July 2021. The membership of the Sub-Committee includes the Leader and six other members drawn from across the Council. Not all of the seats on the Sub-Committee have been filled.
8. The Chair and Vice-Chair of the Sub-Committee can be appointed from amongst any of its members. A member of the Sub-Committee submitted a request that consideration be given to awarding a Special Responsibility Allowance (SRA) to the Chair and Vice-Chair of that Sub-Committee. The Sub-Committee therefore agreed not to appoint its Chair and Vice-Chair pending a decision by Council (following a recommendation from the Panel) on the matter of an SRA.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

9. The Panel is required, in accordance with the requirements of the 2003 Regulations, to make recommendations to the Council about the categories of Council members who should receive a special responsibility allowance and the amount of that allowance.

CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

10. The Panel noted that a Special Responsibility Allowance may be paid to recognize the significant additional time and responsibility that certain roles in the Council require of councillors, over and above the generally accepted duties of a councillor.
11. The Panel considered the 2003 Regulations which specify the categories of role for which the Council may make provision to pay a special responsibility allowance and particularly in relation to this matter:
 - chairing meetings of a council committee or a sub-committee;
 - membership of a committee or a sub-committee of the council which meets with exceptional frequency or for exceptionally long periods.
12. The Panel reviewed the following documentation to assist its deliberations:
 - Terms of reference of the Development Sub-Committee
 - Meeting schedule for all Committees for 2021-22
 - The current Scheme of Members' Allowances
13. The Panel also met with the Leader, Councillor L. Nichols, to discuss his views on the request and invite comments; no negotiations or decisions were made. The Panel found the discussion useful and is grateful to the Leader for his contributions.
14. The Panel noted the Leader's comments that although he did not have a strong personal opinion on the matter, he was happy to support the request of a member of the Sub-Committee.
15. The Panel noted that the Development Sub-Committee has been meeting on a fortnightly basis since 26 July 2021 to discuss important and complex matters involving the Council's property portfolio.
16. The Panel was persuaded by the Leader's view that rather than single out the Chair and Vice-Chair, all members of the Development Sub-Committee should be awarded a special responsibility allowance for their role: they are all required to scrutinize complex documents, deal with an exceptionally heavy workload and have an equal responsibility for decision-making.
17. The Panel finds that the role of a member of the Development Sub-Committee requires additional hours of work, over and above the duties of a councillor, to absorb detailed financial information to ensure the Council makes sound decisions and the responsibility of making important decisions on multi-million-pound projects.
18. The Panel considered this role in comparison to that of members of the Planning Committee and finds that, although the Planning Committee also meets on a frequent

basis about regulatory matters, these have already been analysed against the relevant legislation and guidance in the officer report. The Development Sub-Committee members must undertake their own analysis of the proposals before them.

19. The Panel is satisfied that the role of a member of the Development Sub-Committee merits a special responsibility allowance in recognition of the volume of meetings, complex workload and need for detailed scrutiny which is indicated by the work the Sub-Committee has already undertaken in its meetings to date.
20. The Panel considered the level of allowance to be awarded and the desire of the Group Leaders expressed in relation to the 2021/22 review of the Members' Allowances Scheme, that the current budget envelope for members' allowances (i.e. for the 2020/21 Scheme) should not be exceeded.
21. The Panel noted that:
 - the budget for Members' Allowances in 2020-21 was £354,652
 - the budget agreed by Council for Members' Allowances in 2021-22 was £348,717
 - the balance of the two years' budgets stood at £5,935
22. The Panel agreed that the SRA for a member of the Development Sub-Committee should be in the region of that awarded to the Vice-Chair of the Audit Committee and recommends an SRA of £2000. The Panel recognised that this would result in the 2020-21 budget being exceeded.
23. Whilst the Panel's recommendations are not mandatory, it is hoped that if the Council disagrees with the actual figure recommended, that the Council would accept the Panel's logic.
24. The 2003 Regulations do not limit the number of SRAs which may be paid, nor do they prohibit the payment of more than one SRA allowance to any one Member.
25. At its 2021/22 review, the Panel considered that any councillor taking on the work of more than one role should be given the allowance for both those roles. However, the Panel recommended the retention of the 'one SRA only' rule to dissuade councillors from taking on more than one significant role, but in recognition that there can be situations where an exception needs to be made.
26. The Council agreed the Panel's recommendations and the current Scheme states:

'No one councillor shall be entitled to receive more than one Special Responsibility Allowance except in the situation where the Chairman/Vice-Chairman of the Joint Committee is a Borough Councillor already in receipt of an SRA under the Scheme.'

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Panel recommends that:

- a) **a Special Responsibility Allowance of £2000 be paid to each of the members of the Development Sub-Committee and**
- b) **'the 'one SRA only' rule be removed from the Members' Allowances Scheme.**

Sir Ivan Lawrence (Chairman)
Colin Squire
Alison Osmond

September 2021

Council



14 October 2021

Title	Amendments to the Spelthorne Joint Committee Constitution following decision of Surrey County Council
Purpose of the report	To make a decision
Report Author	Victoria Statham, Group Head of Corporate Governance
Ward(s) Affected	All Wards
Exempt	No
Exemption Reason	Not applicable
Corporate Priority	This item is not in the current list of Corporate Priorities but still requires a Committee decision
Recommendations	Council is asked to: Approve the amendments to the Spelthorne Joint Committee Constitution as set out in this report.
Reason for Recommendation	To ensure that the Spelthorne Joint Committee constitution contains terms agreed by both authorities.

1. Background

- 1.1 On 27 May 2021 the Council ceased operating the Cabinet form of governance and commenced operating a committee system of governance.
- 1.2 At the Council meeting on the 15 July 2021 the Council agreed amendments to the Spelthorne Joint Committee (SJC) Constitution including to remove references to Spelthorne Borough Council's Cabinet and Overview and Scrutiny Committee which no longer exist and to remove the provisions which gave authority to the Borough Council's Leader to appoint the Vice-Chairman of the SJC which is at odds with the new role of the Leader.
- 1.3 The report for the Council on the 15 July also contained the recommendation for the following:
 Tenure of Chair/Vice-Chair (paragraph 2.1) – In July 2019, after the Chairmanship had been held by a Surrey County councillor for one year (2018-2019), the SJC agreed to amend the tenure of office to a two-year term and the Surrey County councillor retained the office of Chair for 2019-2020. The SJC Constitution was not amended to reflect this change. Possibly due to the challenging period of 2020-2021, the Surrey County councillor again held the Chairmanship for those meetings that took place last year. As a Borough

Councillor has not held the Chair since 2017-18, it is proposed that the tenure of office is formally amended in the Constitution to a period of two years and that the Chair is appointed from Spelthorne Borough Council for 2021-2023.

- 1.4 The amendments to the constitution agreed by Spelthorne Borough Council were provided to Surrey County Council who confirmed that they agreed to all the changes consequential to the change in governance but were not in agreement with the amendments to the appointment of the chair and vice chair.
- 1.5 Surrey County Council have stated that the agreement of the Spelthorne Joint Committee to allow for a second year of Surrey County Council holding the chair position was only a temporary arrangement and was not incorporated into the constitution as this was not to be permanent.
- 1.6 To operate as a joint constitution all the provisions must be agreed by both authorities. If not agreed by both parties the constitution reverts to the most recently agreed version, which has the yearly rotation of the chair.
- 1.7 At the Surrey County Council cabinet meeting on 28 September 2021 the County confirmed the agreement to the consequential amendments and amendments to the Chair/Vice Chair appointment section to be:
 - (a) From 2021/22 Municipal year, the offices of Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall alternate between the two Councils every year, with the Borough Council providing the Chairman in Municipal Year 2021/22. If the appointed Chairman is representing Surrey County Council, the Vice-Chairman must be a Spelthorne Borough Council representative and vice-versa.
 - (b) From 2021 the Surrey County Council appointment will be made by the relevant Council Leader and the Spelthorne Borough Council appointment will be made by the Council from amongst those members appointed to the Spelthorne Joint Committee.
 - (c) The Chairman and Vice-Chairman shall, unless he or she resigns the office or ceases to be a member of the Spelthorne Joint Committee, continue in office until a successor is appointed. If a Chairman or Vice-Chairman does not complete a full term of office, a further member from the same Council shall be appointed in accordance with the relevant authority's usual procedures for the remainder of that term.
 - (d) In the absence of the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman at a meeting, the members of the Committee shall elect a chairman for that meeting.
- 1.8 Spelthorne Borough Council have held the chair of the Joint Committee from the start of this municipal year under the current agreed constitution which contains the yearly rotation.
- 1.9 On the 15 July 2021 Spelthorne Borough Council agreed the provisions: The Spelthorne Borough Council representative appointed to the office of Chairman or Vice-Chairman may not also be a Surrey County Councillor. As this provision relates only to Spelthorne Borough Council and is not a stipulation of the County Council this will be put in Council Standing Orders and not in the joint constitution.

2. Proposed amendment

2.1 It is proposed that the Council agrees the amendments to the Joint Constitution as set out in 1.7 (a)-(d). These reflect the arrangements in the previous version of the Constitution agreed by both the Borough and County Council. Their inclusion in the revised Constitution (following our governance change) will ensure that the document is up to date and the Joint Committee does not have to revert to the out-of-date Constitution.

3. Financial implications

3.1 There are none

4. Timetable for implementation

4.1 The amendments will take effect upon agreement.

Background papers: There are none.

Appendices: There are none.

This page is intentionally left blank

Report of the Chairman on the work of the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee

The committee met on Monday 4 October. This report gives an overview of the key items considered by the Committee.

1. An update on the long-running Stadbury Meadow Car Park matter was provided. I am pleased that this matter is now drawing to a close.
2. The committee put in place arrangements for the procurement of maintenance contracts for mechanical and electrical installations, and general reactive works for various council properties.
3. The annual report for the asset management plan was considered.
4. The quarter 1 capital and revenue monitoring reports were reviewed.
5. Sundry debts, that were not cost effective to recover, were written off. The value of these debts was £81,332.76.
6. The committee received a presentation on the latest draft of the corporate plan.
7. Various appointments were made or recommended. Councillor Leighton is joining the Thames Landscape Strategy Partnership. The committee is proposing that Councillors Buttar and Mooney are appointed to the Development Sub-Committee. We were unable to secure a nomination to replace Councillor Sexton on the Waterfront Hotel Investigation Review Group.
8. We noted the small number of urgent actions taken by the Chief Executive.
9. A proposal to form a transactional financial services partnership with Mole Valley District Council was considered. We are looking forward to receiving the business case for this arrangement.
10. Exempt items in relation to Staines Library, a proposal to enter into a lease agreement at the Charter Building in Uxbridge and a request for additional short term funding for Knowle Green Estate were also considered.

Councillor L Nichols

Leader of the Council

Chairman of the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee

This page is intentionally left blank

Concerns with the Terms Of Reference for the Waterfront Enquiry

For the Waterfront enquiry to serve its proper purpose, it is important that it is thorough and credible to Councillors and the communities whose interests they serve. The Terms of Reference for the enquiry need to be comprehensive and robust and ask the difficult/challenging questions as they and the findings will be made available to the public, to whom we as serving councillors are accountable.

We would like to draw attention to – Articles of the Constitution Article 1 – The Constitution with particular reference 1.3 Purpose of the Constitution dated 25-03-2021.

In summary it is our view that the enquiry shall examine and report on issues such as (but is not limited to):

- The bidding and evaluation process.
- The role of the Officers and consultants in the bidding and evaluation process,
- The role of the then Council Leader, members of the Cabinet and other Councillors in the selection and evaluation process.
- The details of the DA including exit clauses, financial and other penalties.
- The opportunity for member led scrutiny of the TORs.
- The Council's involvement of neighbouring authorities and the providers of relevant infrastructure, prior to the Development Agreement being approved.
- The grounds on which involved Officers and/or involved Councillors concluded that the Project would be compatible with the riverside Staines Conservation Area.
- The relationships that existed between the former Leader and/or the Chief Executive and/or other Councillors or Officers with the successful bidder prior to the invitation to bid.

In May 2021 the former Leader and the two deputy Leaders submitted their final approved TORs to the CEX, having considered his advice on the previous set of TORs. The email contained an instruction to the CEX to submit this version to the previous investigator. It was felt by the former Leadership team that those TORs best defined the objectives and the scope of the investigation.

The Terms of Reference announced by the previous Leader reflected the fact that they had been drawn up by people with a more intimate knowledge of the provenance of the Arora scheme and contract at the time. The current Terms of Reference are very different, more generalised and with some important specifics no longer in them. The three-page preamble to the new Terms of Reference reads as defensive and is selective. We are of the view that the current document was written or heavily influenced by those who had a central role in the Arora project and call for these to be replaced with the set of new TORs attached herewith.

Signed by all 9 Staines Councillors:

Cllr Beecher- Staines

Cllr Lagden- Staines
Cllr Siva- Staines
Cllr Gibson- Riverside and Laleham
Cllr Harman- Riverside and Laleham
Cllr Saliagopolous- Riverside and Laleham
Cllr Bateson- Staines South
Cllr Mooney- Staines South
Cllr Vinson- Staines South.

22.9.2021

Appendix A- Proposed TORs from the Staines Councillors

Terms of Reference

For the enquiry to serve its intended purpose, it is important that it is thorough and credible to Councillors and the communities whose interests they serve. The Enquiry's Terms of Reference must therefore be comprehensive and robust and will be made public. It is proposed by the Staines Councillors that the enquiry shall deep dive into the following issues:

- The bidding and evaluation process, including the identity of other bidders and their views on the bidding process.
- The role of the Officers and consultants in the bidding and evaluation process, the process followed for the selection of the successful bidder and whether this was compliant with council policy and procedures and relevant legislation.
- The role of the Officers and consultants in maintaining the Project's confidentiality/secretcy prior to its announcement on 4 May 2020.
- The role of the then Council Leader, members of the Cabinet and other Councillors in the selection and evaluation of bidding parties, evaluation of the successful bidder's Project proposal, and evaluation, scrutiny and approval of the Development Agreement.
- The role of the then Council Leader, members of the Cabinet and other Councillors in maintaining the Project's confidentiality/secretcy prior to its announcement on 4 May 2020.
- The details of the DA including exit clauses, financial and other penalties, failure of non-delivery
- A review of all associated risk assessments undertaken with the DA, to include reputational risks.
- An audit trial of scrutiny functions in regard to the DA, including decisions taken on whether and on what basis the Development Agreement would be referred to or called in by the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Committee (given the Project's highly controversial features including its size, location, lease arrangements, financial and other implications).
- The Council's exercise of its statutory 'duty to co-operate' with affected neighbouring authorities, and the providers of relevant infrastructure, prior to the Development Agreement being approved and signed.
- The grounds on which involved Officers and/or involved Councillors concluded that the Project (given its height and mass) would be compatible with its location in the sensitive riverside Staines Conservation Area.
- The relationships that existed between the former Leader and/or the Chief Executive and/or other Councillors or Officers with the successful bidder prior to the invitation to bid.

This is a formal request to the Review Team that the Terms of Reference as announced by the current administration on 20th August 2021, be revised and expanded to include the above-mentioned points.

Signed by all 9 Staines Councillors:
Cllr Beecher- Staines

Cllr Lagden- Staines
Cllr Siva- Staines
Cllr Gibson- Riverside and Laleham
Cllr Harman- Riverside and Laleham
Cllr Saliagopolous- Riverside and Laleham
Cllr Bateson- Staines South
Cllr Mooney- Staines South
Cllr Vinson- Staines South.